

|   |                 |                |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No. | Applicant(s)   |  |
|   | 09/705,411      | NOWAK, MICHAEL |  |
|   | Examiner        | Art Unit       |  |
|   | James M Hewitt  | 3679           |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

 (1) James M Hewitt.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mathew Perrone, Jr..

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 October 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 103(a)

**Claims discussed:**

1 and 7

**Prior art documents discussed:**


Bulka (US 5,343,647), Solow (US 4,819,355), Pleotis (US 6,262,807)

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 1 and 7, as proposed by the Examiner and as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment, in order to distinguish the claims over the prior art.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)